

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDERICK WILLIAMS, Petitioner, v. TUOLUMNE COUNTY COURT, Respondent.	No. 2:20-cv-00008 GGH P <u>ORDER</u>
---	---

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued by the Tuolumne County Superior Court. ECF No. 1 at 1. Tuolumne County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. This court will not rule on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2);

1 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
2 California sitting in Fresno; and

3 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be
4 filed at:

5 United States District Court
6 Eastern District of California
7 2500 Tulare Street
8 Fresno, CA 93721

9 Dated: January 16, 2020

10 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28